

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/549,950</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) WINTER ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner ANTHONY J. ZIMMER</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1793</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/549,950	Applicant(s) WINTER ET AL.	Examiner ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	Art Unit 1793
Application No. 10/549,950	Applicant(s) WINTER ET AL.				
Examiner ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	Art Unit 1793				

All Participants:
 (1) ANTHONY J. ZIMMER
 (2) James Proscia

Date of Interview: 20 July 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1-3, 6-11, 13-14, 25, 28-36, 72-83

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1-3, 6-11, 13-14, 25, 28-36, and 75 as presented in the Examiner's amendment in this communication in order to put the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)